

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/674,654	Applicant(s) ISHIKAWA, AKIO
	Examiner John B. Strege	Art Unit 2624

All Participants:

(1) John B. Strege.

(2) Peter C. Hsueh #45,574.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8/30/07

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102

Claims discussed:

28

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



BHAVESH M. MEHTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted the Attorney in order to expedite the case by proposing to the Applicant to cancel claim 28 in which the rejection would have been maintained and the action made final. The Applicant agreed to cancel the claim through an Examiner's amendment thus the case is now in condition for allowance..